Particles and Fields in Quantum Field Theory

James Owen Weatherall

Logic and Philosophy of Science University of California Irvine, CA USA

Seven Pines Symposium 16 May 2014



000

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 1 / 69

Apologia

Most of what I say follows papers by David Malament, Rob Clifton, Hans Halvorson, and Michael Redhead.



nan

в

< 口 > < 同 >

Э

Apologia

Most of what I say follows papers by David Malament, Rob Clifton, Hans Halvorson, and Michael Redhead.

Their work builds on a long history of results, going back to the early 1960s, by Schlieder, Reeh, Hegerfeldt, Fleming, Ruijsenaars, Jancewicz, Skagerstam, Jauch, and others.



Talk Overview



- Quantum Mechanics and "Ontology"
- 2 One particle in Galilean spacetime
- 3 One particle in Minkowski spacetime
- 4 Localizable "quanta" in Minkowski spacetime
- 5 An invitation



Talk Overview



Quantum Mechanics and "Ontology"

- 2) One particle in Galilean spacetime
- 3 One particle in Minkowski spacetime
- 4 Localizable "quanta" in Minkowski spacetime
- 5 An invitation



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 4 / 69

< <p>I <

Consider a physical system S.



nan

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 5 / 69

ъ

-

A quantum mechanical description of S consists in:



200

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 6 / 69

< 口 > < 同 >

A quantum mechanical description of S consists in:

 A Hilbert space *H*, rays of which represent possible states of *S*; and



A quantum mechanical description of *S* consists in:

- A Hilbert space *H*, rays of which represent possible states of *S*; and
- A collection *E* of projection operators *P* on *H*, representing propositions (or "eventualities") concerning *S*.



A quantum mechanical description of S consists in:

- A Hilbert space H, rays of which represent possible states of S; and
- A collection *E* of projection operators *P* on *H*, representing propositions (or "eventualities") concerning *S*.

In general, \mathcal{E} will have non-trivial algebraic structure related to the physical structure of \mathcal{S} .



For now, a quantum mechanical system will be a pair $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{E})$.



nan

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 7 / 69

< 口 > < 同 >

What determines the "ontology" of a quantum mechanical system?



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 8 / 69

In other words...



nan

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 9 / 69

ъ

-

< 口 > < 同 >

In other words...

What makes $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{E})$ a representation of system S?



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 9 / 69

Trick question!



nan

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 10 / 69

ъ

What can we do?



nan

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 11 / 69

ъ

What can we do?

Study what physical systems admit a quantum mechanical description at all.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 11 / 69

What can we do?

- Study what physical systems admit a quantum mechanical description at all.
- Characterize a physical system by the algebraic structure of the associated propositions.



What can we do?

- Study what physical systems admit a quantum mechanical description at all.
- Characterize a physical system by the algebraic structure of the associated propositions.
- Reason metaphorically about systems characterized by the same algebraic structures.



Talk Overview



One particle in Galilean spacetime

3 One particle in Minkowski spacetime

4 Localizable "quanta" in Minkowski spacetime

5 An invitation



00

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 12 / 69

An **affine space** is a structure (A, V, +), where A is a collection of points; V is a vector space; and + is a map from $A \times V$ to A such that:



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 13 / 69

- An **affine space** is a structure (A, V, +), where A is a collection of points; V is a vector space; and + is a map from $A \times V$ to A such that:
- **AS 1** For all $p, q \in A$, there is a unique $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$ such that $q = p + \mathbf{u}$; and



An **affine space** is a structure (A, V, +), where A is a collection of points; V is a vector space; and + is a map from $A \times V$ to A such that:

AS 1 For all $p, q \in A$, there is a unique $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$ such that $q = p + \mathbf{u}$; and **AS 2** For all $p \in A$ and all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$, $(p + \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{v} = p + (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})$.



Let (A, V, +) and (A', V, +') be affine spaces.



500

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 14 / 69

в

Let (A, V, +) and (A', V, +') be affine spaces.

An **affine space isomorphism** is a bijection $\phi : A \to A'$ and a vector space isomorphism $\Phi : \mathbf{V} \to \mathbf{V}'$ such that for all points $p, q \in A$, $p = q + \mathbf{u}$ if and only if $\phi(p) = \phi(q) + \Phi(\mathbf{u})$.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 14 / 69

Let (A, V, +) be an affine space.



200

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 15 / 69

ъ

< 口 > < 同 >

Let (A, V, +) be an affine space.

Every vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$ determines an affine space isomorphism $\phi : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{A}$ defined by $\phi : \mathbf{p} \mapsto \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}$.



nan

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 15 / 69

< <p>I <

Let (A, V, +) be an affine space.

Every vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$ determines an affine space isomorphism $\phi : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{A}$ defined by $\phi : \mathbf{p} \mapsto \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{u}$.

The collection of such isomorphisms forms a group T under composition, known as the **translation group** of *A*.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 15 / 69

イロト イポト イラト イラト

The **dimension** of an affine space (A, V, +) is the dimension of its associated vector space, V.



nan

в

< 口 > < 同 >

The **dimension** of an affine space (A, V, +) is the dimension of its associated vector space, **V**.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a unique *n*-dimensional affine space (up to isomorphism).



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 16 / 69



00

I D > I A

1 There exists a distinguished 3-dimensional subspace $S \subseteq V$;



- **1** There exists a distinguished 3-dimensional subspace $S \subseteq V$;
- 2 There exists a positive definite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on **S**; and



- **1** There exists a distinguished 3-dimensional subspace $S \subseteq V$;
- 2 There exists a positive definite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on **S**; and
- 3 There exists a linear functional t : V → R such that t(u) ≠ 0 iff u ∉ S.



Galilean spacetime

A vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$ is called **spacelike** if $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{S}$. Otherwise, it is called **timelike**.



nan

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 18 / 69

< 口 > < 向 >

Galilean spacetime

A vector $u \in V$ is called **spacelike** if $u \in S$. Otherwise, it is called **timelike**.

The **spatial length** of a spacelike vector **u** is given by $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle^{1/2}$.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 18 / 69

A vector $u \in V$ is called **spacelike** if $u \in S$. Otherwise, it is called **timelike**.

The **spatial length** of a spacelike vector **u** is given by $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle^{1/2}$.

The **temporal length** of a timelike vector \mathbf{u} is given by $t(\mathbf{u})$.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 18 / 69

Points $p, q \in A$ are said to be **spacelike related** if the vector connecting them is spacelike. Otherwise they are **timelike related**.



Points $p, q \in A$ are said to be **spacelike related** if the vector connecting them is spacelike. Otherwise they are **timelike related**.

The **spatial (resp. temporal) distance** between spacelike (resp. timelike) related points p and q is the spatial (resp. temporal) length of the vector **u** from p to q.



The points of Galilean spacetime are taken to represent locations of events in space and time.



QC

The points of Galilean spacetime are taken to represent locations of events in space and time.

Spacelike related points represent simultaneous events.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 20 / 69

The points of Galilean spacetime are taken to represent locations of events in space and time.

Spacelike related points represent **simultaneous** events.

The collection of all points simultaneous with a point *p*, the **simultaneity slice** $\Sigma(t)$, represents space at a time *t*.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 20 / 69

The spatial distance between spacelike related points represents that distance between simultaneous events.



The spatial distance between spacelike related points represents that distance between simultaneous events.

The timelike distance between timelike related points represents the duration between non-simultaneous events.



We will call a Borel subset Δ of a simultaneity slice $\Sigma(t)$ a **spatial set**.



nan

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 22 / 69

Example: One particle in Galilean spacetime

Consider a single particle in Galilean spacetime.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 23 / 69

Classically, we represent a particle by its wordline, a curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to A$ that intersects each simultaneity slice exactly once.



Classically, we represent a particle by its wordline, a curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to A$ that intersects each simultaneity slice exactly once.

Given any spatial set Δ , there is an associated proposition:

 E_{Δ} = "The particle is in region Δ (at time *t*)."



Quantum mechanically, we first fix a Hilbert space ${\mathcal H}$ of states of the particle.



00

Quantum mechanically, we first fix a Hilbert space ${\mathcal H}$ of states of the particle.

We associate with each spatial set Δ a projection operator P_{Δ} on \mathcal{H} corresponding to the proposition E_{Δ} .



The collection $\ensuremath{\mathcal{E}}$ of all such projection operators is required to have additional structure.



The projection operators associated with a single simultaneity slice $\Sigma(t)$ are required to commute, and to satisfy:

$$P_{\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2} = P_{\Delta_1} P_{\Delta_2}$$

$$P_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2} = P_{\Delta_1} + P_{\Delta_2} - P_{\Delta_1} P_{\Delta_2}$$

$$P_{\Sigma(t)/\Delta} = I - P_{\Delta}$$



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 27 / 69

The projection operators associated with a single simultaneity slice $\Sigma(t)$ are required to commute, and to satisfy:

$$P_{\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2} = P_{\Delta_1} P_{\Delta_2}$$

$$P_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2} = P_{\Delta_1} + P_{\Delta_2} - P_{\Delta_1} P_{\Delta_2}$$

$$P_{\Sigma(t)/\Delta} = I - P_{\Delta}$$

Note that if Δ_1 and Δ_2 are disjoint, then $P_{\Delta_1}P_{\Delta_2} = P_{\Delta_2}P_{\Delta_1} = 0$.



There exists a (strongly continuous) unitary representation $\mathbf{a} \mapsto U(\mathbf{a})$ of the translation group on Galilean spacetime.



1

By Stone's theorem, for any vector \mathbf{a} , there exists a unique self-adjoint operator $P(\mathbf{a})$ such that

$$U(lpha \mathbf{a}) = e^{i lpha P(\mathbf{a})}.$$



By Stone's theorem, for any vector \mathbf{a} , there exists a unique self-adjoint operator $P(\mathbf{a})$ such that

$$U(lpha \mathbf{a}) = e^{i lpha P(\mathbf{a})}.$$

If **a** is timelike, we require that P(a) is bounded from below.

1



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 29 / 69

We require that for all spatial sets Δ and all vectors **a**,

$$P_{\Delta+\mathbf{a}} = U(\mathbf{a})P_{\Delta}U(-\mathbf{a})$$

where $\Delta + \mathbf{a} = \{ q : q = p + \mathbf{a} \text{ for some } p \in \Delta \}.$



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 30 / 69

 \mathcal{E} forms a spectral measure over \mathbb{R}^4 , which defines a self-adjoint position operator Q.



 \mathcal{E} forms a spectral measure over \mathbb{R}^4 , which defines a self-adjoint position operator Q.

We exponentiate Q to find a bounded operator, define the Weyl commutation relations, ...



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 31 / 69

 \mathcal{E} forms a spectral measure over \mathbb{R}^4 , which defines a self-adjoint position operator Q.

We exponentiate Q to find a bounded operator, define the Weyl commutation relations, ...

yada yada yada



Upshot: There exists a representation of the operators described, satisfying the required properties, on \mathcal{H} .



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 32 / 69

Talk Overview

Quantum Mechanics and "Ontology"

2 One particle in Galilean spacetime

3 One particle in Minkowski spacetime

Localizable "quanta" in Minkowski spacetime

5 An invitation



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

Example: One particle in Minkowski spacetime

Consider a single particle in Minkowski spacetime.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 34 / 69

Minkowski spacetime is a 4-dimensional affine space with the following additional structure:



QC

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 35 / 69

-

Minkowski spacetime is a 4-dimensional affine space with the following additional structure:

There is a non-degenerate inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V s.t. given any orthogonal basis $u_1 \cdots u_4$, one element u_1 satisfies

$$\langle \boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1 \rangle > 0$$

while the others satisfy

 $\langle \mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle < 0.$



A vector $u \in V$ is called **spacelike** if $\langle u, u \rangle < 0$; **timelike** if $\langle u, u \rangle > 0$; and **null** if $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$.



nan

< □ > < 同 >

A vector $u \in V$ is called **spacelike** if $\langle u, u \rangle < 0$; **timelike** if $\langle u, u \rangle > 0$; and **null** if $\langle u, u \rangle = 0$.

The **length** of a vector **u** is given by $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \rangle|^{1/2}$.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 36 / 69

Points $p, q \in A$ are said to be **spacelike related** (resp. **timelike**, **null**) if the vector relating them is spacelike (resp., timelike, null).



Points $p, q \in A$ are said to be **spacelike related** (resp. **timelike**, **null**) if the vector relating them is spacelike (resp., timelike, null).

The **distance** between points p and q is the length of the vector relating them.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 37 / 69

The points of Minkowski spacetime are taken to represent locations of events in space and time.



QC

-

The points of Minkowski spacetime are taken to represent locations of events in space and time.

A timelike vector **u** determines a **reference frame**, corresponding to a family of co-moving observers.



Points p, q are **simultaneous relative to u** if the vector relating them is orthogonal to **u**.



Points p, q are **simultaneous relative to u** if the vector relating them is orthogonal to **u**.

The collection of all points simultaneous with a point *p*, the **simultaneity slice** $\Sigma(\mathbf{u}, t)$, represents space at a time as determined by the family of observers.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 39 / 69

Minkowski spacetime

Determinations of spatial distance, temporal duration, and simultaneity can be made only relative to a reference frame.



In what follows, suppose we fix a reference frame determined by some timelike vector **u**.



In what follows, suppose we fix a reference frame determined by some timelike vector **u**.

We will call a Borel subset of a simultaneity slice $\Sigma(\mathbf{u}, t)$ a **spatial set**.



Classically, we represent a particle by its wordline, a curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to A$ that intersects each simultaneity slice (relative to **any** reference frame) exactly once.



Classically, we represent a particle by its wordline, a curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to A$ that intersects each simultaneity slice (relative to **any** reference frame) exactly once.

Given any spatial set Δ , there is an associated proposition:

 E_{Δ} = "The particle is in region Δ (at time *t*)."



We expect a quantum mechanical description of a single particle in Minkowski spacetime to have the following ingredients.



We expect a quantum mechanical description of a single particle in Minkowski spacetime to have the following ingredients.

1 A Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of states of the particle;



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 43 / 69

We expect a quantum mechanical description of a single particle in Minkowski spacetime to have the following ingredients.

- **1** A Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of states of the particle;
- ② An assignment to each spatial set △ of a projection operator P_△, corresponding to the proposition E_△; and



We expect a quantum mechanical description of a single particle in Minkowski spacetime to have the following ingredients.

- **1** A Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of states of the particle;
- ② An assignment to each spatial set △ of a projection operator P_△, corresponding to the proposition E_△; and
- ③ A (strongly continuous) unitary representation v → U(v) of the translation group of Minkowski spacetime.



In addition, we suppose the following four conditions are met.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 44 / 69

Translation covariance: For all vectors **a** in **V** and all subsets Δ of all instants *t*,

$$P_{\Delta+\mathbf{a}} = U(\mathbf{a})P_{\Delta}U(-\mathbf{a}).$$



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 45 / 69

Semi-bounded energy: For all timelike vectors **a** satisfying $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a} \rangle > 0$, the unique operator $H(\mathbf{a})$ satisfying

$$U(t\mathbf{a}) = e^{-itH(\mathbf{a})}$$

has spectrum bounded from below.



Localizability: If Δ_1 and Δ_2 are disjoint subsets of a single instant *t*, then

$$P_{\Delta_1}P_{\Delta_2}=P_{\Delta_2}P_{\Delta_1}=\mathbf{0}.$$



Locality: If Δ_1 and Δ_2 are spacelike related subsets of instants t_1 and t_2 , then

$$P_{\Delta_1}P_{\Delta_2}=P_{\Delta_2}P_{\Delta_1}.$$



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 48 / 69

Note: **Translation covariance**, **Semi-bounded energy**, and **Localizability** are all satisfied <u>in identical form</u> by the Galilean example.



Note: **Translation covariance**, **Semi-bounded energy**, and **Localizability** are all satisfied <u>in identical form</u> by the Galilean example.

Only **Locality** has changed, because the definition of **spacelike** has changed. (In the Galilean case, **Locality** is subsumed by **Localizability**.)



Theorem (Malament (1996))

If the structure $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta \mapsto P_{\Delta}, \mathbf{a} \mapsto U(\mathbf{a}))$ satisfies **Translation** covariance, Semi-bounded energy, Localizability, and Locality, then $P_{\Delta} = \mathbf{0}$ for all spatial sets Δ .



< <p>I < </p>

Talk Overview

Quantum Mechanics and "Ontology"

- 2 One particle in Galilean spacetime
- 3) One particle in Minkowski spacetime

4 Localizable "quanta" in Minkowski spacetime

5 An invitation



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 51 / 69

Another tack

You might think:



200

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 52 / 69

≣⇒

A D > A D > A D
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Another tack

You might think: Jim!



200

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 52 / 69

≣⇒

-

Another tack

You might think: Jim! You're doing this wrong!



nan

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 52 / 69

в

< 口 > < 同 >

Suggestion 1: Instead of considering projections P_{Δ} , consider (local) number operators N_{Δ} .



nan

Suggestion 1: Instead of considering projections P_{Δ} , consider (local) number operators N_{Δ} .

A local number operator N_{Δ} is an observable whose eigenvalues give the "number of particles" in spatial region Δ .



Suppose we have a Hilbert space, a (strongly continuous) representation $\mathbf{a} \mapsto U(\mathbf{a})$, and assignments $\Delta \mapsto N_{\Delta}$ of number operators to spatial (Borel) sets.



Suppose we have a Hilbert space, a (strongly continuous) representation $\mathbf{a} \mapsto U(\mathbf{a})$, and assignments $\Delta \mapsto N_{\Delta}$ of number operators to spatial (Borel) sets.

Translation covariance, Semi-bounded energy, Localizability, and Locality carry over intact to $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta \mapsto N_{\Delta}, \mathbf{a} \mapsto U(\mathbf{a}))$.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 54 / 69

Number operators

Number Additivity: If Δ_1 and Δ_2 are disjoint subsets of the same simultaneity slice $\Sigma(t)$, then $N_{\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2} = N_{\Delta_1} + N_{\Delta_2}$.



Number conservation: If $\{\Delta_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ is a disjoint covering of a simultaneity slice $\Sigma(t)$, then $\sum_n N_{\Delta_n}$ converges to a densely defined, self-adjoint operator N on \mathcal{H} (independent of the covering), and for any timelike vector **a**, $U(\mathbf{a})NU(-\mathbf{a}) = N$.



Theorem (Halvorson & Clifton (2001))

If the structure $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta \mapsto N_{\Delta}, \mathbf{a} \mapsto U(\mathbf{a}))$ satisfies **Translation** covariance, Semi-bounded energy, Localizability, Locality, Additivity, and Number conservation then $N_{\Delta} = \mathbf{0}$ for all spatial sets Δ .



I D > I A

Number operators, take 2

Suggestion 2: Instead of considering projections local number operators on **spatial sets**, consider local number operators on **spacetime regions**.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 58 / 69

Fix a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and a (strongly continuous) unitary representation $\mathbf{a} \mapsto U(\mathbf{a})$ of the translation group on Minkowski spacetime.



nan

-

Fix a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and a (strongly continuous) unitary representation $\mathbf{a} \mapsto U(\mathbf{a})$ of the translation group on Minkowski spacetime.

A **net of local observables** is an assignment $O \mapsto \mathcal{R}(O)$ of (von Neumann) sub-algebras of $B(\mathcal{H})$ to each bounded, open subset of Minkowski spacetime.



<ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Fix a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and a (strongly continuous) unitary representation $\mathbf{a} \mapsto U(\mathbf{a})$ of the translation group on Minkowski spacetime.

A **net of local observables** is an assignment $O \mapsto \mathcal{R}(O)$ of (von Neumann) sub-algebras of $B(\mathcal{H})$ to each bounded, open subset of Minkowski spacetime.

The **global algebra** \mathcal{R} is the smallest (von Neumann) algebra containing all of the local algebras.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 59 / 69

Isotony: For any two bounded open sets of Minkowski spacetime O_1 and O_2 , if $O_1 \subseteq O_2$, then $\mathcal{R}(O_1) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(O_2)$.



DQ P

Algebra Additivity: Given any bounded open set *O* of Minkowski spacetime, the set $\{\mathcal{R}(O + \mathbf{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{V}\}$ generates \mathcal{R} as a C^* -algebra.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 61 / 69

Locality (Microcausality): Given any spacelike separated bounded open sets O_1 , O_2 , and any observables $A \in \mathcal{R}(O_1)$ and $B \in \mathcal{R}(O_2)$, $[A, B] = \mathbf{0}$.



DQ P

Vacuum: There exists a vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$, called the **vacuum**, such that for any vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{V}$, $U(\mathbf{a})\Omega = \Omega$.



0 a a

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 63 / 69

Local Number operators in AQFT

A **local number operator** associated with spacetime region O, N_O , is an element of $\mathcal{R}(O)$.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 64 / 69

Local Number operators in AQFT

Necessary condition: Given any bounded open subset *O* of Minkowski spacetime, $N_O \in \mathcal{R}(O)$ can be a local number operator operator only if $N_O \Omega = 0$.



Reeh-Schlieder Theorem

Theorem (Reeh-Schlieder (1961))

If the structure $(\mathcal{H}, O \mapsto \mathcal{R}(O), \mathbf{a} \mapsto U(\mathbf{a}))$ satisfies **Isotony**, **Additivity**, **Semi-bounded energy**, and **Locality**, then given any bounded open set O, an operator $A \in \mathcal{R}(O)$ satisfies $A\Omega = \mathbf{0}$ only if A = 0.



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 66 / 69

< <p>I < </p>

Reeh-Schlieder Theorem

Corollary

There are no local number operators.



QC

J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 67 / 69

-

Talk Overview

Quantum Mechanics and "Ontology"

- 2 One particle in Galilean spacetime
- 3 One particle in Minkowski spacetime
- 4 Localizable "quanta" in Minkowski spacetime

5 An invitation



J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 68 / 69

The Unruh effect





J. O. Weatherall (UCI)

Particles & Fields

16 May 2014 69 / 69