## The ABINIT code
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## ABINIT software project

## Ideas (1997) :

1) Softwares for first-principles simulations are more and more complex : needs a worldwide collaboration, of specialized, complementary, groups
2) Linux software development : 'free software' model

Now (2018) :
$>2000$ registered people on the forum
$>800$ kLines of F90 + many python scripts (abipy)
about 50 contributors to ABINITv8
last release v8.8.2 used in this school http://www.abinit.org

Available freely (GPL, like Linux).


## Properties from DFT+MBPT+ ...

Computation of ...
interatomic distances, angles, total energies electronic charge densities, electronic energies

A basis for the computation of ... chemical reactions electronic transport vibrational properties thermal capacity dielectric behaviour optical response superconductivity surface properties spectroscopic responses



## Basic Documentation

Web site http://www.abinit.org ; http://docs.abinit.org
> User's guides
> Installations notes
$>$ List of input variables + description
> List of topics = a hub to input variables, files, tutorial, bibrefs
$>$ over 800 example input files
$\gg 30$ tutorial lessons (each 1-2 hours) https://docs.abinit.org/tutorial

+ Forum Web site http://forum.abinit.org


## ABINIT tutorial : layout + dependencies
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## ABINIT＋python ：Abipy，Abitutorials ．．．

## ABINIT organization on GitHUB https：／／github．com／abinit

Abipy ：python library for launching ABINIT jobs， and analysing／plotting the results http：／／pythonhosted．org／abipy
＝＞e．g．connecting ABINIT with tools for high－throughput calculations developed in the Materials Project context （like Pymatgen，Fireworks）．
Abitutorials ：tutorial based on Jupyter notebooks ABINIT＋python


ABINIT
Belgium https：／／www．abinit．org trunk＠abinit．org

四 Repositories 13 Seople 19 Teams 0 Projects 2 Prings

Pinned repositories

三 abinit $\quad$＝abipy
UCL

The official github mirror of the Abinit repository．
ETNT／binit

## 三 abitutorials

Abinit tutorials based on AbiPy

## Running ABINIT : basics

## Density Functional Theory calculations In ABINIT ...

Representation of mathematical formalism with a Plane Wave basis set :

- wavefunctions
- density, potential

Periodic boundary conditions
=> wavefunctions characterized by a wavevector ( $k$-vector)
PseudoPotentials (or Projector Augmented Waves - PAW)
Iterative techniques to solve the equations
(Schrödinger equation ; DFT Self-consistency ; optimisation of atomic positions)

## External files in a ABINIT run



## Results:

log, main output, energy derivatives ( _DDB), ... - text files density ( _DEN), potential ( _POT), wavefunctions ( _WFK), ... - binary F90 files or similar files in netCDF ( _DEN.nc, _POT.nc, _WFK.nc)

Advantage of netCDF : portable, addressed by content, extensible, Python-friendly

## ABINIT : the pipeline and the driver



## Basic 'files' file : delivers filenames

| h2.in | Name of input file <br> h2.out |
| :--- | :--- |
| h2i | Name of main output file |
| h2o | 'Root' name for possibly other input files |
| h2 | 'Root' name for possibly other output files |
| hydrogen.hgh | 'Root' name for temporary files |
| [oxygen.pspnc | Name for the pseudopotential file for atoms of type 1 |
| [92u.psp | Name for the pseudopotential file for atoms of type 2] |

Made of at least 6 lines (more if > 1 type of atoms) with one name/address specified on each of these lines.

## A basic 'input’ file : dihydrogen (I)

\# H2 molecule in big cubic box
\# Characters after '\#' or after '!' are comments, will be ignored.
\# Keywords followed by values. Order of keywords in file is not important.
\# Definition of the unit cell
acell 101010 \# Keyword "acell" refers to
\# lengths of primitive vectors (default in Bohr)
\# Definition of the atom types
ntypat 1 \# Only one type of atom
znucl 1 \# Keyword "znucl" refers to atomic number of
\# possible type(s) of atom. Pseudopotential(s)
\# mentioned in "filenames" file must correspond
\# to type(s) of atom. Here, the only type is Hydrogen.
\# Definition of the atoms
natom 2 \# Two atoms
typat 11 \# Both are of type 1, that is, Hydrogen
xcart \# This keyword indicate that location of the atoms
\# will follow, one triplet of number for each atom

| -0.70 .00 .0 | \# Triplet giving cartesian coordinates of atom 1, in Bohr |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.70 .00 .0 | \# Triplet giving cartesian coordinates of atom 2, in Bohr |

## A basic input file : dihydrogen (II)

```
\# Definition of planewave basis set
ecut 10.0 \# Maximal plane-wave kinetic energy cut-off, in Hartree
```

```
# Definition of k-point grid
kptopt 0 # Enter k points manually
nkpt 1 # Only one k point is needed for isolated system,
    # taken by default to be 0.0 0.0 0.0
```

\#Definition of SCF (self-consistent field) procedure
nstep 10 \# Maximal number of SCF cycles
toldfe 1.0d-6 \# Will stop when, twice in a row, the difference
\# between two consecutive evaluations of total energy
\# differs by less than toldfe (default in Hartree)
diemac 2.0 \# Although this is not mandatory, it is worth to precondition the
\# SCF cycle. A model dielectric function, used as standard
\# preconditioner, is described in "dielng" input variable section.
\# Here, we follow prescriptions for molecules in a big box
\#\# After modifying the following section, one might need to ...
\#\% \% < BEGIN TEST_INFO> Metadata ... to be ignored in the tutorial!

## Specification of the atomic geometry

## ABINIT : a periodic code

Plane waves $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iKr}}$ : particularly simple and efficient (when used with pseudopotentials), but infinite spatial extent.
Cannot use a finite set of planewaves for finite systems ! Need periodic boundary conditions.
Primitive vectors $\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{j}}$, primitive cell volume $\Omega_{0}$


OK for crystalline solids


But : finite systems, surfaces, defects, polymers,

## Solution : the supercell technique



## Molecule, cluster

Surface : treatment of a slab


Interface


Point defect in a bulk solid

## Examples of defects $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$-quartz : Pb




Comparison with amorphous $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$

## Main input file : input variable flexibility

- cell primitive vectors $\rightarrow$ rprim
$\ldots$ or angle (degrees) between primitive vectors $\rightarrow$ angdeg
+ scale cell vector lengths $\rightarrow$ acell
+ scale cartesian coordinates $\rightarrow$ scalecart
- number of atoms $\rightarrow$ natom
- reduced coordinates $\rightarrow$ xred (initial guess ...)
... or cartesian coordinates $\rightarrow$ xcart (in Bohr) / xangst (in Å)
- type of atoms $\rightarrow$ typat
- space group $\rightarrow$ spgroup + natrd
$\ldots$ or number of symmetries $\rightarrow$ nsym
+ symmetry operations $\rightarrow$ symrel + tnons


## Example : cubic zirconium dioxide



Bilbao Crystallographic Server $\rightarrow$ Assignment of Wyckoff Positions

## Assignment of Wyckoff Positions

## Atoms Data:

| AT. | WP | SS | Representative | Atomic orbit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zr1 | 4a (0,0,0) | m-3m | $(0.000000,0.000000,0.000000)$ | $(0.000000,0.000000,0.000000)$ <br> $(0.000000,0.500000,0.500000)$ <br> $(0.500000,0.000000,0.500000)$ <br> $(0.500000,0.500000,0.000000)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| O2 |  |  |  | $(0.250000,0.250000,0.250000)$ |
|  |  |  |  | $(1 / 4,1 / 4,1 / 4)$ <br> $(0.750000,0.750000,0.250000)$ <br> $(0.750000,0.250000,0.750000)$ <br> $(0.250000,0.750000,0.750000)$ <br> $(0.250000,0.250000,0.750000)$ <br> $(0.750000,0.750000,0.750000)$ <br> $(0.250000,0.750000,0.250000)$ <br> $(0.750000,0.250000,0.250000)$ |

Face-centered cubic, with three atoms per primitive cell

## Example : cubic zirconium dioxide



natom 3<br>acell 3*5.01 Angst NOTE "*" is a repeater<br>rprim 0.00 .50 .5<br>0.50 .00 .5<br>0.50 .50 .0<br>typat 122<br>xred 3*0.0 3*0.25 3*0.75<br>=> symmetries are found automatically

OR
natom 3 acell 3*5.01 Angst
rprim 0.00 .50 .5
0.50 .00 .5
0.50 .50 .0
typat 122
spgroup 225 natrd 2
xred $3^{*} 0.03^{*} 0.25=>$ the set of atoms is completed automatically

## Primitive vectors in ABINIT (rprim)

- $\quad R_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{j}) \rightarrow \operatorname{rprimd}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{i})=$ scalecart $(\mathrm{j}) \times \operatorname{rprim}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{i}) \times$ acell $(\mathrm{i})$


$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\text { scalecart } & 9.5 & 9.8 & 10.0 \\
\text { rprim } & 0.0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\
& 0.5 & 0.0 & 0.5 \\
& 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.0
\end{array}
$$

face-centered orthorhombic


$$
\begin{array}{lcccc}
\text { acell } & 9.5 & 9.5 & 10.0 & \\
\text { rprim } & 0.8660254038 \mathrm{E}+00 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\
& -0.8660254038 \mathrm{E}+00 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\
& 0.0 \quad 0.0 \quad 1.0 & & \\
& & \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow & \text { acell(i) / } \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow \text { angdeg(i) }
\end{array}
$$

\(\left.\begin{array}{rllll}acell \& 9.5 \& 9.5 \& 10.0 <br>

angdeg \& 120 \& 90 \& 90\end{array}\right]\)|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| acell | 9.0 9.0 9.0 |  |  |
| angdeg | 48 | 48 | 48 |

hexagonal

hexagonal
trigonal


## Symmetries in ABINIT

- Seitz notation for the symmetry operations of crystal :

- Applied to the equilibrium position vector of atom $\kappa$ relative to the origin of the cell $\tau_{\kappa}$, this symmetry transforms it as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{\mathbf{S} \mid \mathbf{v}(S)\} \tau_{\kappa} & =\mathbf{S} \tau_{\kappa}+\mathbf{v}(S)=\tau_{\kappa^{\prime}}+\mathbf{R}^{a} \\
\left\{S_{\alpha \beta} \mid v_{\alpha}(S)\right\} \tau_{\kappa \alpha} & =S_{\alpha \beta} \tau_{\kappa \alpha}+v_{\alpha}(S)=\tau_{\kappa^{\prime} \alpha}+R_{\alpha}^{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{a}}$ belongs to the real space lattice.


## The plane wave basis set

$$
\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})=\left(N \Omega_{0}\right)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{G}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \mathbf{r}}
$$

## A reminder : basic equations in DFT

Solve self-consistently the Kohn-Sham equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{H}\left|\psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}\left|\psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle \\
\hat{H}=\hat{T}+\hat{V}+\hat{V}_{H x c}[\rho] \\
\rho(\vec{r})=\sum_{\mathrm{n}}^{o c c} \psi_{\mathrm{n}}^{*}(\vec{r}) \psi_{\mathrm{n}}(\vec{r}) \\
\text { or minimize } \\
E_{e l}\{\psi\}=\sum_{\mathrm{n}}\left\langle\psi_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbf{r})\right. \\
\delta_{\mathrm{mn}}=\left\langle\psi_{\mathrm{m}} \mid \psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle \text { for } \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n} \in \text { occupied set } \\
\text { with } \hat{T}+\hat{V}\left|\psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle+E_{H x c}[\rho] \\
\end{array}, \sum_{\mathrm{a} \kappa}-\frac{Z_{\mathrm{\kappa}}}{\left|\vec{r}_{\mathrm{r}}-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{\kappa}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right|}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Periodic system : wavevectors

For a periodic Hamiltonian : wavefunctions characterized by a wavevector $\mathbf{k}$ (crystal momentum) in Brillouin Zone

Bloch's theorem

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{m}, \mathbf{k}}\left(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{j}}} \psi_{\mathrm{m}, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})
$$

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}(\mathbf{r})=\left(N \Omega_{0}\right)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \quad \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}\left(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}(\mathbf{r})
$$

Normalization?
Born-von Karman supercell supercell vectors $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{j}}$ with $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$
$\psi_{\mathrm{m}, \mathbf{k}}\left(\mathbf{r}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\psi_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}(\mathbf{r})$

## Planewave basis set

Reciprocal lattice : set of $\mathbf{G}$ vectors such that $e^{i \mathbf{G} \mathbf{R}_{j}}=1$
$\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} G r}$ has the periodicity of the real lattice

$$
\mathrm{u}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{\mathbf{G}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{G}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \mathbf{G r}} \quad \psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})=\left(N \Omega_{0}\right)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{G}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \mathbf{r}}
$$

$u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{G})=\frac{1}{\Omega_{\mathrm{o}}} \int_{\Omega_{0}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \mathbf{G r}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{r}$
(Fourier transform)
Kinetic energy of a plane wave $-\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2} \rightarrow \frac{(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G})^{2}}{2}$
The coefficients $u_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{G})$ for the lowest eigenvectors
decrease exponentially with the kinetic energy $\frac{(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G})^{2}}{2}$
Selection of plane waves determined by a cut-off energy $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cut }}$

$$
\frac{(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G})^{2}}{2}<\underset{\substack{\text { eut } \\ \text { ecut }}}{ } \text { Plane wave sphere }
$$



## Number of planewaves

Number of plane waves
= function of the
kinetic energy cut-off
... not continuous

Also, a (discontinuous) function of lattice parameter at fixed kinetic energy

## Discontinuities in energy and pressure

=> Energy (and pressure) also (discontinuous) functions of lattice parameter at fixed kinetic energy
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## Removing discontinuities

Kinetic energy


Kinetic energy


## Convergence wrt to kinetic energy cutoff



## Plane waves : the density and potential

Fourier transform of a periodic function $\quad \mathrm{f}(\mathbf{r})$

$$
\mathrm{f}(\mathbf{G})=\frac{1}{\Omega_{\mathrm{or}}} \int_{\Omega_{\mathrm{or}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{Gr}} \mathrm{f}(\mathbf{r}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{r} \quad \mathrm{f}(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{G}}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \mathbf{G r}} \mathrm{f}(\mathbf{G})
$$

Poisson equation $\quad \Rightarrow \mathrm{n}(\mathbf{G})$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{G})$

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{r})=\left.\int \frac{\mathrm{n}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{r}^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow \nabla^{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{H}}\right|_{\mathbf{r}}=-4 \pi \mathrm{n}(\mathbf{r})
$$

Relation between Fourier coefficients:

$$
\mathrm{G}^{2} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{G})=4 \pi \mathrm{n}(\mathbf{G}) \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{G})=\frac{4 \pi}{\mathrm{G}^{2}} \mathrm{n}(\mathbf{G})
$$

For $\mathrm{G}^{2}=0 \quad(\mathbf{G}=0 \quad)$ divergence of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathbf{G}=0)$

## Representation of the density

Density associated with one eigenfunction :

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{nk}}(\mathbf{r})=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{nk}}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{nk}}(\mathbf{r})
$$

Computation of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{nk}}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{nk}}(\mathbf{r}) \\
= & \left(\sum_{\mathbf{G}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{nk}}^{*}(\mathbf{G}) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \mathbf{G r}}\right)\left(\sum_{\mathbf{G}^{\prime}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{nk}}\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{r}}\right) \\
= & \sum_{\mathbf{G} \mathbf{G}^{\prime}}\left[\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{nk}}^{*}(\mathbf{G}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{nk}}\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}\right)\right] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\mathbf{G}^{\prime}-\mathbf{G}\right) \mathbf{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Non-zero coefficients for $\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G} \in$ sphere $\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}^{\prime} \in$ sphere

The sphere for $\mathrm{n}(\mathbf{G})$ has a double radius

## From real space to reciprocal space $\mathrm{n}(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{\mathbf{G} \in \operatorname{sphere}(2)} \mathrm{n}(\mathbf{G}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \mathbf{G r}}$

Use of the discrete Fourier transform $\quad\left\{\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\} \leftrightarrow\{\mathbf{G}\}$


## Representation : wrap-up

- Choice of a basis (e.g. Plane waves)
- Truncating of the basis -> finite basis

$$
\frac{(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G})^{2}}{2}<\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cut}} \quad \text { Sphere of plane waves }
$$

- Discontinuous increase of the number of plane waves ?

Smearing of $u(\mathbf{G})$
-> Progressive incorporation of new G vectors

- Representation of the density

Sphere with a double radius in the reciprocal space

- Going from the real space to reciprocal space

Discrete Fourier transform
Grid of points + Fast Fourier Transform

$$
\left\{\mathbf{r}_{i}\right\} \leftrightarrow\{\mathbf{G}\}
$$

## Sampling the Brillouin zone

## From discrete states to Brillouin zone

Discrete summations over states :
Total kinetic energy $\quad \sum_{i}\left\langle\psi_{i}\right|-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle$
Density

$$
n(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{i} \psi_{i}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{i}(\mathbf{r})
$$

In the periodic case : summation over energy bands + integration over the Brillouin zone
Total kinetic energy $\quad \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\Omega_{0 \mathrm{k}}} \int_{\Omega_{0 \mathrm{k}}} f\left(\varepsilon_{F}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{nk}}\right)\left\langle\psi_{\mathrm{nk}}\right|-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}\left|\psi_{\mathrm{nk}}\right\rangle d \mathrm{k}$
Density $\quad n(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{n} \frac{1}{\Omega_{0 \mathrm{k}}} \int_{\Omega_{0 \mathrm{k}}} f\left(\varepsilon_{F}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{nk}}\right) \psi_{\mathrm{nk}}{ }^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{\mathrm{nk}}(\mathbf{r}) d \mathrm{k}$
How to treat $\frac{1}{\Omega_{o \mathbf{k}}} \int_{\Omega_{o \mathbf{k}}} X_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{dk} \quad ?$

## Brillouin zone integration

$$
\frac{1}{\Omega_{o \mathbf{k}}} \int_{\Omega_{o \mathbf{k}}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{k} \Rightarrow \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}\}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{k}} \quad\left[\text { with } \sum_{\{\mathbf{k}\}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathbf{k}}=1\right]
$$



Simple answer: Homogeneous grid (1D-2D-3D) and equal weights


## Brillouin zone integration

## Theorem :

If • the integrand is periodic

- the integrand is continuous + derivable at all orders $\left(C^{\infty} D^{\infty}\right)$
- $\{\mathbf{k}\}$ homogeneous grid (1D-2D-3D) and $W_{k} \quad$ all equal

Then exponential convergence, with respect to $\Delta \mathbf{k}$

- OK for semiconductors/insulators where the occupation number is independent of $k$ within a band
- Convergence : one ought to test several grids with different $\Delta \mathbf{k}$
- Monkhorst \& Pack grids (Phys. Rev. B 13, 5188 (1976))
$k_{1} \times k_{2} \times k_{3}$ points + simple cubic, FCC, BCC ...
- Other techniques ... (tetrahedron method)


## BZ integration : Monkhorst-Pack grid

- Uniformly spaced grid of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k} 1} \times \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k} 2} \times \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k} 3}$ points in the first Brillouin Zone [Monkhorst \& Pack, Phys. Rev. B 13, 5188 (1976)]


$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k} 1}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k} 2}=3
$$


$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k} 1}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k} 2}=4$
ngkpt nk1 nk2 nk3



## Unshifted and shifted grids

- k-points grid can be chosen to be shifted : not centered at $\Gamma$.
- Advantage : comparable accuracy can be obtained with fewer kpoints in IBZ (especially for highly symmetric cases)

$n_{k} 1=n_{k 2}=3$
unshifted

$n_{k} 1=n_{k 2}=3$
shifted by $(1 / 2,1 / 2)$
ngkpt nk1 nk2 nk3

$$
\text { shiftk sk1 sk2 sk3 (defaut: } 0.50 .5 \text { 0.5) }
$$

## Combining grids with various shifts

- k-points grid with various shifts can also be combined.

combining unshifted and shifted by $(1 / 2,1 / 2)$ for $n_{k 1}=n_{k 2}=3$

```
ngkpt nk1 nk2 nk3
nshiftk nsk
shiftk sk1(1) sk2(1) sk3(1)
    sk1(2) sk2(2) sk3(2)
    "
```


## Irreducible wedge

- Using symmetries to avoid summing entire BZ :
- Restrict the sum to the Irreducible Brillouin zone (IBZ) provided that weights are adapted.



## Treatment of metals (I)

Behaviour of $f\left(\varepsilon_{F}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{nk}}\right)$ ? Energy
Discontinuity of integrand at Fermi level

Smearing technique


First trial : generalisation of DFT to finite temperature
$f\left(\varepsilon_{n \mathbf{k}}\right)=\frac{1}{1+e^{\left(\varepsilon_{n \mathbf{k}}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{F}}\right) / \mathrm{kT}}}$
$f$ goes from 0 to 2 in an energy range $\quad \sigma=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$
$E(T) \cong E(T=0)+\alpha T^{2}+\ldots$

$$
F(T)=E-T S
$$

occopt 3
tsmear $\sigma$
Problem: T needed to recover the same convergence as for semiconductors is very high ( >> 2000 K )

## Treatment of metals (II)

Better technique: obtain $E(\sigma=0)$ from total energy expression $E(\sigma)$ with modified occupation numbers, and $\sigma$ similar to a temperature
$E(\sigma)=E(\sigma=0)+\alpha \sigma^{2}+O\left(\sigma^{3}\right)$ with $\alpha$ small
or $E(\sigma)=E(\sigma=0)+\alpha \sigma^{n}+O\left(\sigma^{n+1}\right)$ with $\mathrm{n}>2$
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{nk}}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{nk}}\right)=\mathrm{s} \cdot \int_{\text {Spin factor }}^{\infty}=\frac{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{nk}}-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{F}}}{\sigma} \tilde{\delta}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \quad\left[\right.$ with $\left.\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\delta}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}=1\right]$
Gaussian smearing $\tilde{\delta}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{x}^{2}} \Rightarrow \alpha$ small occopt 7
Gauss - Hermite smearing $\tilde{\delta}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left(\frac{3}{2}-x^{2}\right) e^{-x^{2}}$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{n}=4$ but occupations can be negative
'Cold Smearing'
occopt 4/5
(Marzari et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3296 (1999))
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{n}=3$ with positive occupations


## Convergence wrt k-points and smearing

Total energy (Ry)


UCL
$n_{k}\left(n_{k} \times n_{k} \times n_{k}\right.$ k-point grid)
Courtesy of S. Narasimhan

## How many k points ? Smearing width ?

Rule of thumb ! Goal : lattice parameter converged better than 0.5 \%

| Semiconductors - Insulators | $\# \mathbf{k} \times N_{\text {atoms }}$ | $50 \ldots 500$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Metals | $\# \mathbf{k} \times N_{\text {atoms }}$ | $1000 \ldots 2000$ |

Use symmetries $\Rightarrow$ integration in the irreducible Brillouin zone

2D Example

grid $4 \times 4=16$
n
3 points in the irreducible Brillouin Zone
Smearing : depends on the density of electronic states (DOS) at the Fermi level
s-p Metal (Al, Na ...) ~ 0.04 Ha
d Metal (Cu, Ag...) ~ 0.01 Ha
\. magnetism needs small $\sigma$

## Pseudopotentials

## Core and valence electrons (I)

Core electrons occupy orbitals that are « the same » in the atomic environment or in the bonding environment

## It depends on the accuracy of the calculation !

Separation between core and valence orbitals : the density...

$$
\begin{aligned}
n(\mathbf{r}) & =\sum_{i}^{N} \psi_{i}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{i}(\mathbf{r}) \\
& =\sum_{i \in \text { corre }}^{N_{\text {core }}} \psi_{i}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{i}(\mathbf{r})+\sum_{i \in v a l}^{N_{\text {val }}} \psi_{i}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{i}(\mathbf{r})=n_{\text {core }}(\mathbf{r})+n_{\text {val }}(\mathbf{r})
\end{aligned}
$$

«Frozen core» for $\mathrm{i} \in \operatorname{core}: \psi_{i}=\psi_{i}^{\text {atom }}$

## Small core / Large core

It depends on the target accuracy of the calculation! (remark also valid for pseudopotentials, with similar cores) For some elements, the core/valence partitioning is obvious, for some others, it is not.

F atom: $(1 s)^{2}+(2 s)^{2}(2 p)^{5}$
IP 1keV $\quad 10-100 \mathrm{eV}$
Ti atom : $\quad(1 s)^{2}(2 s)^{2}(2 p)^{6}(3 s)^{2}(3 p)^{6}(4 s)^{2}(3 d)^{2} \quad$ small core

$$
(1 s)^{2}(2 s)^{2}(2 p)^{6}(3 s)^{2}(3 p)^{6}(4 s)^{2}(3 d)^{2} \quad \text { large core }
$$

IP $\quad 99.2 \mathrm{eV} \quad 43.3 \mathrm{eV}$
Gd atom : small core with $n=1,2,3$ shells , might include $4 s, 4 p$, and $4 d$ in the core. $4 f$ partially filled

## Core and valence electrons (II)

Separation between core and valence orbitals : the energy ...

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\mathrm{KS}}\left[\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}\right] & =\sum_{i}\left\langle\psi_{i}\right|-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle+\int V_{\text {ext }}(\mathbf{r}) n(\mathbf{r}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{r}+\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{n\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) n\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right|} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{r}_{1} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{r}_{2}+E_{\mathrm{xc}}[n] \\
E_{\mathrm{KS}}\left[\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}\right] & =\sum_{i \in \text { core }}^{N_{\text {corre }}}\left\langle\psi_{i}\right|-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle+\int V_{\text {ext }}(\mathbf{r}) n_{\text {core }}(\mathbf{r}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{r}+\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{n_{\text {core }}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) n_{\text {core }}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right|} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{r}_{1} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{r}_{2} \\
& +\sum_{i \in \text { val }}^{N_{\text {val }}}\left\langle\psi_{i}\right|-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle+\int V_{\text {ext }}(\mathbf{r}) n_{\text {val }}(\mathbf{r}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{r}+\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{n_{\text {val }}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) n_{\text {val }}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right|} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{r}_{1} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{r}_{2} \\
& +\int \frac{n_{\text {val }}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right) n_{\text {core }}\left(\mathbf{r}_{2}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{2}\right|} \mathrm{d} \mathbf{r}_{1} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{r}_{2}+E_{\mathrm{xc}}\left[n_{\text {core }}+n_{\text {val }}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Removing core electrons (I)

From the previous construction : valence orbitals must still be orthogonal to core orbitals
( => oscillations, slope at the nucleus ...)

Pseudopotentials try to remove completely the core orbitals from the simulation

Problem with the number of nodes
This is a strong modification of the system ...
Pseudopotentials confine the strong changes within a « cut-off radius »

## Removing core electrons (II)

Going from

$$
\left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}+\mathrm{v}\right)\left|\psi_{\mathrm{i}}\right\rangle=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}\left|\psi_{\mathrm{i}}\right\rangle
$$

To

$$
\left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ps}}\right)\left|\psi_{\mathrm{ps}, \mathrm{i}}>=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ps}, \mathrm{i}}\right| \psi_{\mathrm{ps}, \mathrm{i}}>
$$

Possible set of conditions (norm-conserving pseudopotentials) NCPP - Hamann D.R., Schlüter M., Chiang C, Phys.Rev.Lett. 43, 1494 (1979)
$\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{ps}, \mathrm{i}}$
$\psi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathbf{r})=\psi_{\mathrm{ps}, \mathrm{i}}(\mathbf{r})$ for $\mathrm{r}>\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{c}}$
$\int_{\mathrm{r}<\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{c}}}\left|\psi_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathbf{r})\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{r}=\int_{\mathrm{r}<\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{c}}}\left|\psi_{\mathrm{ps}, \mathrm{i}}(\mathbf{r})\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{r}$
For the lowest
angular momentum channels (s + p ... d ...f)

Generalisation : ultra-soft pseudopotentials (USPP), projector-augmented plane waves (PAW)

## Example of pseudopotential




Radial distance [a.u.]

## Pseudopotentials/PAW data in ABINIT

- Preferred PAW atomic dataset table : JTH

Jollet, Torrent, Holzwarth, Computer Physics Comm. 185, 1246 (2014)
https://www.abinit.org/psp-tables


Atomic data available
$\square$ Atomic data non available
Also, possibility to use : GPAW table, GBRV v1.0 table, or norm-conserving pseudopotentials (e.g. ONCVPSP pseudo generator), or many others

## Pseudopotentials/PAW data in ABINIT

- Norm-conserving pseudos : pseudo-dojo approach

Van Setten et al , Computer Physics Comm. 226, 39 (2018)
https://www.pseudo-dojo.org



## Computing the forces

## Computing the forces (I)

Born - Oppenheimer approx. $\Rightarrow$ find electronic ground state in potential created by nuclei.

A starting configuration of nuclei $\left\{\mathrm{R}_{\kappa}\right\}$ is usually NOT in equilibrium geometry.

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\kappa, \alpha}=-\left.\frac{\partial E}{\partial R_{\kappa, \alpha}}\right|_{\left\{\overrightarrow{\mathrm{R}}_{\kappa}\right\}} \quad \text { (principle of virtual works) }
$$

Forces can be computed by finite differences.
Better approach : compute the response to a perturbation
$\Rightarrow$ What is the energy change ?

$$
\left\{\mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{\mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha}+\underset{\kappa}{\left.\lambda \delta \mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha}\right\}}\right. \text { Small parameter }
$$

## Computing the forces (II)

To simplify, let's compute the derivative of an electronic eigenvalue
Perturbation theory : Hellmann - Feynman theorem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{d \varepsilon_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}=\left\langle\psi_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)}\right| \frac{\mathrm{d} \hat{\mathrm{H}}}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda}\left|\Psi_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)}\right\rangle \\
\frac{\mathrm{d} \psi_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{~d} \lambda} \text { not needed! }
\end{array}
$$

Application to the derivative with respect to an atomic displacement :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\mathrm{H}}=\hat{\mathrm{T}}+\hat{\mathrm{V}}_{\text {ext }}\{\hat{\mathrm{R}}\} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \hat{\mathrm{H}}}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{\kappa}, \alpha}}=\frac{\partial \hat{\mathrm{V}}_{e x t}}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{K}, \alpha}} \\
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{n}}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}}=\left\langle\psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right| \frac{\partial \hat{\mathrm{H}}}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{K}, \alpha} \mid}\left|\psi_{\mathrm{n}}\right\rangle=\int \mathrm{n}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial \hat{\mathrm{V}}_{e x t}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}} \mathrm{dr}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Computing the forces (III)

## Generalisation to density functional theory

Reminder: $\quad \mathrm{E}\left[\psi_{\mathrm{i}}\right]=\sum_{n}\left\langle\psi_{\mathrm{i}}\right| \hat{\mathrm{T}}\left|\psi_{\mathrm{i}}\right\rangle+\int \mathrm{n}(\mathbf{r}) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{r}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Hxc}}[\mathrm{n}]$ If change of atomic positions ...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}})=\sum_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}-\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}}{\mid \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mid}} \quad \text { (can be generalized to pseudopotential case) } \\
& \frac{\partial \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ext}}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}})}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}}=+\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}}{\left|\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right|^{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial\left|\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right|}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}}=-\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}}{\left|\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right|^{3}} \cdot\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)_{\alpha} \\
& \frac{\partial \mathrm{E}}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}}=\int \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{r}^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ext}}\left(\mathrm{r}^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}, \alpha}} d \mathrm{r}^{\prime}=-\int \frac{\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{r}^{\prime}\right)}{\left|\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right|^{3}} \cdot\left(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Forces can be computed directly from the density !

## Iterative algorithms

## Algorithmics: problems to be solved

(1) Kohn - Sham equation

$$
\underline{\underline{\mathrm{A}} \underline{\mathrm{x}}_{i}=\lambda_{i} \underline{\mathrm{x}}_{i},}
$$

Size of the system
[2 atoms... 600 atoms...] + vacuum ?
Dimension of the vectors $\underline{\mathrm{x}}_{i} \quad 300 \ldots \quad 100000 \ldots$ (if planewaves)
\# of (occupied) eigenvectors
4... 1200...
(2) Self-consistency
(3) Geometry optimization


Find the positions $\left\{\mathbf{R}_{\kappa}\right\}$ of ions such that the forces $\left\{\mathbf{F}_{\kappa}\right\}$ vanish
[ = Minimization of energy ]

## Current practice : iterative approaches

## The 'steepest-descent' algorithm

Forces are gradients of the energy : moving the atoms along gradients is the steepest descent of the energy surface.
=> Iterative algorithm.
Choose a starting geometry, then a parameter $\lambda$, and iterately update the geometry, following the forces :

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=\mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha}^{(\mathrm{n})}+\lambda \mathrm{F}_{\kappa, \alpha}^{(\mathrm{n})}
$$

Equivalent to the simple mixing algorithm of SCF (see later)
-binit

## Energy+forces around equilib. geometry

## Let us denote the equilibrium geometry as $\mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha}^{*}$

Analysis of forces close to the equilibrium geometry, at which forces vanish, thanks to a Taylor expansion :
$\mathrm{F}_{\kappa, \alpha}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\kappa^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{\kappa, \alpha}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\kappa^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}}^{*}\right)+\left.\sum_{\kappa^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{\partial \mathrm{F}_{\kappa, \alpha}}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\kappa^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}}}\right|_{\left\{\mathrm{R}^{*}\right\}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\kappa^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}}-\mathrm{R}_{\kappa^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}}^{*}\right)+O\left(\mathrm{R}_{\kappa^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}}-\mathrm{R}_{\kappa^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}}^{*}\right)^{2}$
Moreover, $\quad \mathrm{F}_{\kappa, \alpha}=-\frac{\partial \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{BO}}}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha}} \quad \frac{\partial \mathrm{F}_{\kappa^{\prime}, \alpha}}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha}}=-\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{BO}}}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha} \partial \mathrm{R}_{\kappa^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}}}$
Vector and matrix notation

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha}^{*} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}
$$

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\kappa, \alpha} \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{F}}
$$

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{BO}}}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha} \partial \mathrm{R}_{\kappa^{\prime}, \alpha}}\right|_{\left\{\mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha}^{*}\right\}} \rightarrow \text { (the Hessian) }
$$

## Steepest-descent : analysis (I)

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=\mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha}^{(\mathrm{n})}+\lambda \mathrm{F}_{\kappa, \alpha}^{(\mathrm{n})}
$$

Analysis of this algorithm, in the linear regime :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\mathrm{F}}(\underline{\mathrm{R}})=\mathrm{F}\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)-\underline{\underline{\mathrm{H}}}\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)+O\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)^{2} \\
& \underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{n})}+\lambda \underline{\mathrm{F}}^{(\mathrm{n})} \Longrightarrow\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)=\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{n})}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)-\lambda \underline{\underline{\mathrm{H}}}\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{n})}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right) \\
&\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)=(\underline{\underline{1}}-\lambda \underline{\underline{\mathrm{H}}})\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{n})}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For convergence of the iterative procedure, the "distance" between trial geometry and equilibrium geometry must decrease.

1) Can we predict conditions for convergence ?
2) Can we make convergence faster?

Need to understand the action of
$\overline{U C L}$

## Steepest-descent : analysis (II)

What are the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of $\underline{\underline{\mathrm{H}}}$ ?
 positive definite matrix

$$
\left(=\left.\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{BO}}}{\partial \mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha} \partial \mathrm{R}_{\kappa^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}}}\right|_{\left\{\mathrm{R}_{\kappa, \alpha}^{* *}\right\}}\right)
$$

$\underline{\underline{H}} \underline{f}_{i}=h_{i} \underline{f}_{i}$ where $\left\{\underline{f}_{i}\right\}$ form a complete, orthonormal, basis set Discrepancy decomposed as $\quad\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{n})}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)=\sum \mathrm{c}_{i}^{(\mathrm{n})} \underline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\quad\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)=(\underline{\underline{1}}-\lambda \underline{\underline{H}}) \sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(\mathrm{n})} \underline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(\mathrm{n})}\left(1-\lambda \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \underline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{i}}$
The coefficient of $\underline{f}_{i}$ is multiplied by $1-\lambda h_{i}$
Iteratively :

$$
\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{n})}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(0)}\left(1-\lambda \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{(\mathrm{n})} \underline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

## Steepest-descent : analysis (III)

$$
\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{n})}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(0)}\left(1-\lambda h_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{(n)} \underline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

The size of the discrepancy decreases if $\left|1-\lambda \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|<1$ Is it possible to have $\left|1-\lambda h_{i}\right|<1$, for all eigenvalues ?
$\underline{\underline{H}}$ positive definite $=>$ all $h_{i}$ are positive

$$
\text { Yes ! If } \lambda \text { positive, sufficiently small ... }
$$

## Steepest-descent : analysis (IV)

$$
\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{n})}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(0)}\left(1-\lambda h_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{\left(\mathrm{n}^{1}\right)} \underline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

How to determine the optimal value of $\lambda$ ?
The maximum of all |1- $\lambda h_{i} \mid$ should be as small as possible.
At the optimal value of $\lambda$, what will be the convergence rate ?
( = by which factor is reduced the worst component of $\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{n})}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)$ ? )
$\begin{array}{lll}\left.\text { As an exercise : suppose } \begin{array}{ll}h_{1}= & 0.2 \\ h_{2}= & 1.0 \\ h_{3}=5.0\end{array}\right\} & \\ & & \\ & + \text { what is the convergence rate ? }\end{array}$

Hint : draw the three functions $\left|1-\lambda \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|$ as a function of $\lambda$. Then, find the location of $\quad \lambda$ where the largest of the three curves is the smallest.

Find the coordinates of this point.


## Steepest-descent: analysis (V)

Minimise the maximum of $\left|1-\lambda \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right|$

$$
\left.\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}_{1}=0.2 \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{2}=1.0 \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{3}=5.0
\end{aligned} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{ll}
1 \lambda & .0 .2 \mid \\
1 \lambda \lambda & 1 \\
1 \lambda & .5
\end{array}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\text { optimum }=>\lambda & =5 \\
\text { optimum }=\lambda \lambda & =1 \\
\text { optimum }=>\lambda & =0.2
\end{array}\right\} ?
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu=\underbrace{|1-\lambda 0.2|}_{\text {positive }}=\mid \underbrace{1-\lambda 5 \mid}_{\text {negative }} \\
1-\lambda \cdot 0.2=-(1-\lambda .5) \\
2-\lambda(0.2+5)=0=\lambda=2 / 5.2 \\
\mu=1-2 .(0.2 / 5.2)
\end{gathered}
$$

Only $\sim 8 \%$ decrease of the error, per iteration ! Hundreds of iterations will be needed to reach a reduction of the error by 1000 or more.

Note : the second eigenvalue does not play any role.
The convergence is limited by the extremal eigenvalues : if the parameter is too large, the smallest eigenvalue will cause divergence, but for that small parameter, the largest eigenvalue lead to slow decrease of the error...

## The condition number

In general, $\lambda_{\text {opt }}=2 /\left(h_{\text {min }}+h_{\text {max }}\right)$

$$
\mu_{\text {opt }}=2 /\left[1+\left(\mathrm{h}_{\max } / \mathrm{h}_{\min }\right)\right]-1=\left[\left(\mathrm{h}_{\max } / \mathrm{h}_{\min }\right)-1\right] /\left[\left(\mathrm{h}_{\max } / \mathrm{h}_{\min }\right)+1\right]
$$

Perfect if $h_{\text {max }}=h_{\text {min }}$. Bad if $h_{\text {max }} \gg h_{\text {min }}$.
$\mathrm{h}_{\max } / \mathrm{h}_{\text {min }}$ called the "condition" number. A problem is "ill-conditioned" if the condition number is large. It does not depend on the intermediate eigenvalues.

Suppose we start from a configuration with forces on the order of $1 \mathrm{Ha} / \mathrm{Bohr}$, and we want to reach the target $1 \mathrm{e}-4 \mathrm{Ha} / \mathrm{Bohr}$. The mixing parameter is optimal. How many iterations are needed?
For a generic decrease factor $\Delta \quad$, with " n " the number of iterations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\underline{F}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\| \approx\left(\frac{\mathrm{h}_{\max } / \mathrm{h}_{\min }-1}{\mathrm{~h}_{\max } / \mathrm{h}_{\min }+1}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}\left\|\underline{\mathrm{~F}}^{(0)}\right\| \quad \Delta \approx\left(\frac{\mathrm{h}_{\max } / \mathrm{h}_{\min }-1}{\mathrm{~h}_{\max } / \mathrm{h}_{\min }+1}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \mathrm{n} \approx\left[\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{~h}_{\max } / \mathrm{h}_{\min }+1}{\mathrm{~h}_{\max } / \mathrm{h}_{\min }-1}\right)\right]^{-1} \ln \Delta \approx 0.5\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\max } / \mathrm{h}_{\min }\right) \ln \frac{1}{\Delta} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { (The latter approximate } \\
\text { equality supposes a } \\
\text { large condition number) }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Analysis of self-consistency


Natural iterative methodology (KS : in => out) :

$$
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \psi_{i}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \mathrm{n}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{out}}(\mathbf{r})
$$

Which quantity plays the role of a force, that should vanish at the solution ?
The difference $\quad \mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}(\mathbf{r})-\mathrm{v}_{\text {in }}(\mathbf{r})$ (generic name : a "residual")
Simple mixing algorithm ( $\approx$ steepest - descent )

$$
\underline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{in}}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=\underline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{in}}^{(\mathrm{n})}+\lambda\left(\underline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{out}}^{(\mathrm{n})}-\underline{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{in}}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right)
$$

Analysis ... $\underline{\mathrm{v}}_{\text {out }}\left[\underline{\mathrm{v}}_{\text {in }}\right]=\underline{\mathrm{v}}_{\text {out }}\left[\underline{\mathrm{v}}^{*}\right]+\frac{\delta \underline{\mathrm{v}}_{\text {out }}}{\delta \underline{\mathrm{v}}_{\text {in }}}\left(\underline{\mathrm{v}}_{\text {in }}-\underline{\mathrm{v}}^{*}\right)$

- $\underline{\underline{H}}$

Like the steepest-descent algorithm, this leads to the requirement to minimize $\left|1-\lambda h_{i}\right|$ where $h_{i}$ are eigenvalues of $\frac{\delta \underline{v}_{\text {out }}}{\delta \underline{v}_{\text {in }}}$


## Modify the condition number (II)

$$
\underline{R}^{(n+1)}=\underline{R}^{(n)}+\lambda\left(\underline{\underline{H}}^{-1}\right)_{\text {appox }} \underline{\underline{F}}^{(n)}
$$

$$
\underline{\mathrm{F}}(\underline{\mathrm{R}})=-\underline{\underline{H}}\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}-\underline{\underline{R}}^{*}\right) \Longrightarrow \quad\left(\underline{( }^{(0+1)}-\underline{R}^{*}\right)=\left(1-\lambda\left(\underline{\underline{H}}^{-1}\right)_{\text {appox }} \underline{\underline{H}}\right)\left(\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{(n)}-\underline{\mathrm{R}}^{*}\right)
$$

Notes: 1) If approximate inverse Hessian perfect, optimal geometry is reached in one step, with $\lambda=1$.
Steepest-descent NOT the best direction.
2) Non-linear effects not taken into account. For geometry optimization, might be quite large. Even with perfect hessian, need 5-6 steps to optimize a water molecule.
3) Approximating inverse hessian by a multiple of the unit matrix is equivalent to changing the $\lambda$ value.
4) Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $\quad\left(\underline{\underline{H}}^{-1}\right)_{\text {approx }} \underline{\underline{H}}$ govern the convergence : the condition number can be changed. $\left(\underline{\underline{H}}^{-1}\right)_{\text {appoften }}$ called a "pre-conditioner".
5) Generalisation to other optimization problems is trivial.

## Modify the condition number (III)

Approximate Hessian can be generated on a case-by-case basis.
Selfconsistent determination of the Kohn-Sham potential :
Jacobian = dielectric matrix.
Lowest eigenvalue close to 1 .
Largest eigenvalue :
= 1.5 ... 2.5 for small close-shell molecules, and small unit cell solids
(Simple mixing will sometimes converge with parameter set to 1 !)
$=$ the macroscopic dielectric constant (e.g. 12 for silicon),
forlarger close-shell molecules and large unit cell insulators,
= diverge for large-unit cell metals, or open-shell molecules !
Model dielectric matrices known for rather homogeneous systems.
Knowledge of approx. macroscopic dielectric constant
=> efficient preconditioner
Work in progress for inhomogeneous systems
(e.g. metals/vacuum systems).

## Advanced algorithms: using the history

Instead of using only previously computed forces, take into account past forces for past positions

Large class of methods :

- Broyden (quasi-Newton-type),
- Davidson,
- conjugate gradients,
- Lanczos ...

Approximate Hessian can be combined with usage of history

## Stages in the main processing unit


(3) scfcv.F90


(5) vtowfk.F90

(6) cgwf.F90

(7) getghc.F90

Ground-state

Molecular dynamics
Self-consistent field convergence

From a potential (v) to a density (rho)

From a potential (v) to
a wavefunction at some k-point
Conjugate-gradient on one wavefunction
Get the application of the Hamiltonian

## In practice

(1) Kohn - Sham equation

$$
\left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r})\right] \psi_{i}(\mathbf{r})=\varepsilon_{i} \psi_{i}(\mathbf{r})
$$

Details are usually hidden to the user nline Note that scaling with size of system is quadratic or even cubic
(2) Self-consistency

Target tolerance
toldfe, toldff, tolrff, tolvrs

+ Maximal number of loops nstep


Preconditioner diemac, diemix, ...
(3) Geometry optimization / molecular dynamics

Find the positions $\left\{\mathbf{R}_{\kappa}\right\}$ of ions such that the forces $\left\{\mathbf{F}_{\kappa}\right\}$ vanish
Target tolerance tolmxf

+ Maximal number of loops ntime
Algorithm ionmov


# Computing band structure and density of states 

## Non-self consistent calculations

- Once the density has been determined self-consistently, it is possible to compute the eigenenergies/eigenfunctions rapidly for a large number of wavevectors, at fixed KS potential
- Band structure :
non self-consistent calculation (iscf -2)
k-points along high-symmetry directions (kptopt<0;kptbounds;ndivk).


Sherbrooke, May 28-29, 2018

## Density of states

- Density of states (DOS) defined as number of states available in energy range $E$ to $E+d E$ :

$$
g(E)=\sum_{n} \frac{1}{\Omega_{0 \mathrm{k}}} \int_{\Omega_{0 \mathrm{k}}} \delta\left(E-\varepsilon_{\mathrm{nk}}\right) d \mathrm{k}
$$

- Recipe : determine $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{nk}}$ on a grid of k-points in the BZ using a non self-consistent procedure (iscf -3).
- The $\delta$-function is approximated by smeared-out function (typically a Gaussian) with a width $\sigma$ (prtdos 1).
- Very fine grid of k-points needed to get sharp features accurately. For faster convergence, use tetrahedron method to interpolate between k-points (prtdos 2).


## Example: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$-quartz

DOS


## Summary

- Plane waves basis set
- Brillouin zone integration
- PW need pseudopotentials
- Easy computation of forces

$$
\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})=\left(N \Omega_{0}\right)^{-1 / 2} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{G}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) \mathbf{r}}
$$



=> relaxation of geometry, or molecular dynamics



## Beyond the basics

## Documentation : central ABINIT doc

Web site https: //docs.abinit.org Based on markdown+mkdocs
> User's guide + Installations notes
$>$ List of topics, input variables, tutorial (>30 lessons)
$>$ Theory documents including bibliography
$\gg 1000$ example input files + reference output (from test set)


## Documentation : abipy galleries

## Web site

> Plot gallery
> Flow gallery
https://github.com/abinit/abipy
http://abinit.github.io/abipy/gallery/index.html
http://abinit.github.io/abipy/flow_gallery/index.html


MgB2 Fermi surface


Gruneisen parameters


Dielectric function with LFE

Joint Density of States



Eliashberg function


Bands + DOS

Band structure plot


Projected phonon DOS


Flow to analyze the convergence of phonons in metals wrt ngkpt and tsmear


GOWO Flow with convergence study wrt nband

Sherbrooke, May 28-29, 2018

## Documentation : abipy galleries



MgB2 Fermi surface

Gruneisen parameters


Dielectric function with LFE


Eliashberg function


Bands + DOS


Band structure plot


Projected phonon DOS

## Documentation : abipy galleries



Flow to analyze the convergence of phonons in metals wrt ngkpt and tsmear


Optic Flow
GOWO Flow with
convergence study wrt nband

## Documentation : abitutorials

Web site https://github.com/abinit/abitutorials
> Jupyter notebook : very fast execution of tutorial, so student can grap the whole story, then come back to details later

- Easier if familiarized with python
> Recent, 7 lessons available


## Abinit + AbiPy Lessons

- The $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule
- Crystalline silicon
- Phonons, dielectric tensor and Born effective charges from DFPT
- $G_{0} W_{0}$ band structure
- Bethe-Salpeter equation and excitonic effects
- E-PH self-energy and T-dependent band structures
- Phonon linewidths and Eliashberg function of AI

